1	JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney	
2	Nevada Bar No. 7709 SUMMER A. JOHNSON	
3	Assistant United States Attorney CHRISTIAN R. RUIZ	
4	Assistant United States Attorney U.S. Attorney's Office	
5	501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101	
6	Phone: (702) 388-6336 Fax: (702) 388-6787	
7	Summer.Johnson@usdoj.gov Christian.Ruiz@usdoj.gov	
8	Attorneys for the United States	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
11	United States of America,	Case No. 2:21-cv-00184-JCM-DJA
12	Plaintiff,	Stipulation to Extend the Deadlines for
13	v.	the Rule 26(f) Conference, Discovery Plan, and Scheduling Order
14	PCPLV LLC d/b/a Pinnacle Compounding Pharmacy, Ofir Ventura, Cecelia Ventura,	(Second Request)
15	Brandon Jimenez, Robert Gomez, Gomez & Associates, Inc., Rock'n Rob Enterprises,	
16	Amir Shalev, D.P.M., AS Enterprises, Inc., and Ivan Lee Goldsmith, M.D.,	
17	Defendants.	
18	Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule IA 6-1, the	
19	United States requests, and Defendants Ivan Lee Goldsmith, M.D., Amir Shalev, D.P.M.,	
20	and AS Enterprises, Inc., do not oppose, 15-day extensions of the due dates to hold a Rule	
21	26(f) conference and submit a proposed discovery plan and scheduling order. The court	
22	previously granted an extension of these due dates, setting deadlines for the Rule 26(f)	
23	conference to February 26, 2024, and the filing of a proposed discovery plan and	
24	scheduling order to March 12, 2024. This requested extension would move the due dates to	
25	March 12, 2024, and March 27, 2024, respectively.	
26	Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 6(b)(1) and Local Rule IA 6-1 allow a party to	
27	request additional time to perform an act. Further, the committee notes to the 2015	
28		•

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

amendment to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16 recognize that in some cases, "the parties cannot prepare adequately for a meaningful Rule 26(f) conference and then a scheduling conference in the time allowed." The committee noted that "[1]itigation involving . . . multiple parties . . . may be more likely to need extra time to establish meaningful collaboration between counsel and the people who can supply the information needed to participate [at a Rule 26(f) conference] in a useful way." Although Defendants Goldsmith, Shalev, and AS Enterprises have filed their answers, the other defendants in this case presently are at various stages of entering an appearance. Defendants Ofir Ventura, Cecelia Ventura, and Brandon Jimenez currently have a deadline of March 1, 2024, to file their answers, and Defendant Robert Gomez recently filed a stipulation to extend his respective due date until March 12, 2024. The United States thus respectfully requests this extension to allow the parties sufficient time to enter their appearances, meaningfully participate in a Rule 26(f) conference, and collaborate to file a proposed discovery plan and scheduling order. Respectfully submitted this 26th day of February 2024. E. Brent Bryson, LTD. JASON M. FRIERSON United States Attorney 17 <u>/s/ E. Brent Bryson, Esq.</u> E. BRENT BRYSON, ESQ. <u>/s/Christian R. Ruiz</u> CHRISTIAN R. RUIZ Nevada Bar No. 4933 Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Defendant Attorneys for the United States Ivan Lee Goldsmith, M.D. The Law Offices of Kristina Wildeveld & Associates, /s/ Lisa A. Rasmussen LISA A. RASMUSSEN, ESO. Nevada Bar No. 7491 Counsel for Defendants Amir Shalev & AS Enterprises, Inc. ORDER 2/28/2024 DATED: IT IS SO ORDERED: HONORABLE DANIEL ALBREGTS UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE